



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/605,201 | 06/27/2000 | David Black | E0295/7146 | 4782 |
| 75 | 590 08/28/2003 | , | | |
| Matthew B Lowrie | | | EXAMINER | |
| 600 Atlantic Av | | | PEYTON, TAMMARA R | |
| Boston, MA 0 | 2210-2211 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUME | |
| | | | 2182 | 6 |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | PRS | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Appli | cation No | Applicant(s) | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | |
| | | 05,201 | BLACK, DAVID | | | | | |
| Offic Acti n Summary | Exam | iner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | nara R Peyton | 2182 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply | nunication appears o | n the cover sheet | with the correspondence a | ddress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than thit of the period for reply is specified above, the maximumant of the period for reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(6) Status | UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. rty (30) days, a reply within th m statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause th oths after the mailing date of th | no event, however, may e statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) N e application to become | r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication (s | s) filed on <u>18 <i>June 20</i></u> | <u>)03</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This actio | n is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p Disposition of Claims | | | | ne merits is | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in t | the application. | | | • | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdrawn fron | n consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to re | striction and/or electi | on requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | • | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a | are: a) ☐ accepted or l | b) | y the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings ar | | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte | d to by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl | aim for foreign priorit | y under 35 U.S.0 | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None | of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified cop application from the In* See the attached detailed Office a | ternational Bureau (F | PCT Rule 17.2(a) | | l Stage | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla | im for domestic priori | ty under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona | al application). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreigr15) Acknowledgment is made of a cla | * * · | | | | | | | |
| Attachm nt(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144) | | | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noted of Informal Patent Application (P | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Fitzgerald*, *V* et al. (US 5,787,485).
- 2. As per claim 16, *Fitzgerald* teaches a host comprising:
 - a processing unit (45, Fig.1); and
 - a memory interface module (controller 1 (70) + controller 2 (85), Fig. 1) to permit accesses to a logical entity to be made to one physical storage location (10) for read request and to a different physical storage location (20) for a write request.
 (Abstract, col. 1, lines 29-col. 2, lines 1-41, Figs. 1-4)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/605,201 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *McBrearty et al.*, (US 6,216,211).
- 4. As per claim 20, 23, 24, and 26, *McBrearty* teaches a storage management controller for computer storage system that includes a plurality of storage elements, the storage management controller comprising:
 - an interface module (Logical Volume Memory, 30, Fig. 1, 2) to communicate with the storage elements; and
 - an entity movement manager (Logical Volume Memory, 30, Fig. 1, 2) to control separate moving of a read location and a write location for a specified logical entity.
- 5. *McBrearty* teaches a method of creating a copy of a logical entity and moving all reads of the logical entity from a backup/primary storage element to mirror backup storage element (Mirror II or Mirror III). During the read operation, write operations are being mirrored to a second or third mirror backup storage element. Those write block operations are marked stale, during the copying of the read operations. When the read operations are completed, the entry point thereto is deleted and the write block operations that were marked stale on the mirror backup storage are then resynchronized, i.e. updated. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that

Application/Control Number: 09/605,201 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

McBrearty teaches that updated write operations are separately moved to the mirror (Mirror II or Mirror III) backup storage. (Abstract, col. 2, lines 54-60, col. 5, lines 47-67, col. 6, lines 32- col. 7, lines 1-64)

- 6. As per claims 21, *McBrearty* teaches of assigning an ID (minor number) for the logical volume. (*McBrearty*, col. 5, lines 10-14)
- 7. As per claims 27 and 28, *McBrearty* does not expressly teach wherein the logical could be a hyper-volume or striped volume. However, such volume methods are well known in the art, thereby making use of these methods obvious.
- 8. As per claim 29, *McBrearty* teaches wherein the logical entity is a partition.
- 9. As per claim 22, *McBrearty* does not expressly teach wherein logical entity IDs is stored in a database. *McBrearty* teaches of storing the logical entity IDs in system memory. However, one of ordinary skill would readily recognize that it would not be out of the scope of the *McBrearty* system to store the logical entity IDs in a separate database. Doing so would further add flexibility to the system.
- 10. As per claims 25 and 30, *McBrearty* teaches the means for creating a copy of the logical entity on the second physical storage locations; means for moving all reads of the logical entity from each of the first physical storage to the second physical storage

Application/Control Number: 09/605,201

Art Unit: 2182

location; and means for moving all writes to the logical entity to the second physical storage location, after all of the reads have been moved to the second physical storage location.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15 are allowed over the prior art.

Claims 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if added to the rejected independent claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (703) . 306-5508. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin, can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/605,201

Art Unit: 2182

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal communications intended for entry should be sent to:

(703) 746-7238, After Final (703)746-7239

or, for informal or draft communications, to:

(703) 746-7240 (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor

(Receptionist).

Tammara Peyton

August 25, 2003